

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/975,386	10/11/2001	Kenneth David Knapp	24-01	
7:	590 12/11/2003		EXAM	INER
John F. McNulty Paul & Paul 2900 Two Thousand Market Street		A, PHI DIEU TRAI		EU TRAN
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
· Philadelphia, F	A 19103	V	3637	

DATE MAILED: 12/11/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				11	
	<b>Application No.</b> 09/975,386		licant(s)		
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit_		
,	Phi D A		3637		
The MAILING DATE of this communication a		cover sheet with the		idress	
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	N. 1.136(a). In no eve reply within the statu od will apply and will tute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be ti tory minimum of thirty (30) da expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron cation to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered time the mailing date of this of ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24	June 2003.				
<u> </u>	is action is no	n-final.			
Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde	vance except	for formal matters, pr		e merits is	
Disposition of Claims	. In purio qui	.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application	n.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd		sideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election re	equirement.			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	ccepted or b)[	objected to by the	Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	he drawing(s) b	e held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	-		-		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. No	te the attached Office	e Action or form P	TO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome since a specific reference was included in the 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language priority 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome reference was included in the first sentence of	ents have been ents have been ents have been riority docume eau (PCT Rule ist of the certification priority un first sentence provisional appestic priority un	n received. n received in Applications have been received 17.2(a)). ied copies not received 17.5 U.S.C. § 119. of the specification of	tion No red in this National ed. (e) (to a provisional or in an Application ceived. O and/or 121 since on Data Sheet. 37	al application) Data Sheet. a specific CFR 1.78.	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summar			
<ul> <li>2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s</li> </ul>		5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application (PT	O-152)	

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 1-2, 4, 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ernest (6444289).

Ernest (figure 2) shows a blanket of fibrous building insulating comprising a fibrous insulation layer (18) of a predetermined thickness having opposite first and second insulation surfaces between side surfaces that are spaced apart a given dimension which first and second insulation surfaces define the predetermined thickness, a thin facing sheet (20) having first and second sheet surfaces spaced apart a dimension that is substantially less than the predetermined thickness determined by the spacing apart of the insulation first and second surfaces with the first sheet surface thereof disposed on a second insulation surface (18a) of the insulation layer, a thin adhesive layer (24) substantially thinner than the thickness of the insulation layer disposed

Application/Control Number: 09/975,386

Art Unit: 3637

between and securing the first sheet surface of the facing sheet to the second insulation surface of

Page 3

the insulation layer, a grid of perforations (26) through the facing sheet, spots of adhesive visible

through the perforations at the second sheet surface of the facing sheet (col 4 line 15-17), the grid

of perforations comprising means defining generally straight predetermined cut lines for cutting

the facing sheet and insulation in accordance with a pattern defined by at least some of the spots

of adhesive, the blanket of insulation may be readily be cut along a line of the spots of adhesive

to accommodate spaces between spaced apart structural members of lesser spacing than the

given dimensions (inherently so), the insulation layer being of fiberglass construction (col 3 line

26-27), the grid of perforations being of rectangular, intersecting horizontal and vertical lines of

spaced apart perforations, the grid of perforations comprising four vertical, generally spaced

apart cut lines, the grid of perforations comprising horizontal, generally parallel, spaced apart cut

lines.

Per claim 8, Ernest shows all the claimed method steps of making a blanket of fibrous

building insulation.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ernest (6444289)

in view of Broderick et al (4709523).

Application/Control Number: 09/975,386

Art Unit: 3637

Ernest shows all the claimed limitations except for the adhesive being asphalt.

Broderick et al discloses asphalt adhering an insulation layer (18) to a covering layer (11).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Ernest to show the adhesive being asphalt because asphalt would provide strong bond between an insulation layer and its cover as taught by Broderick et al.

5. Claims 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ernest (6444289).

Ernest shows all the claimed limitations except for the grid of perforation having cut lines being approximately 3 inches apart between side surfaces of the insulation layer, the grid of perforations having cut lines approximately 3.75 inch apart between side surfaces of the insulation layer, the grid of perforations having horizontal cut lines approximately 1.5 inch apart.

It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to show the grid of perforation having cut lines being approximately 3 inches apart between side surfaces of the insulation layer, the grid of perforations having cut lines approximately 3.75 inch apart between side surfaces of the insulation layer, the grid of perforations having horizontal cut lines approximately 1.5 inch apart because it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to show the perforations having cut lines approximately 3, 3.75, or 1.5 inch apart since applicant has not disclosed that the various spacing dimensions solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose and it appears that the invention would perform equally well with the perforations being spaced apart any desired dimension, and the fact that it is a matter of design choice is further illustrated by applicant's claims which call for the variety of dimensions between perforations.

Application/Control Number: 09/975,386 Page 5

Art Unit: 3637

6. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ernest (6444289) in view of Ryan (649363).

Ernest shows all the claimed method steps except for the step of fastening a portion of the cut blanket of fibrous building insulation in the predetermined spacing between structure members.

Ryan (figure 1) shows the step of fastening a portion of the cut blanket of fibrous building insulation in the predetermined spacing between structure members.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Ernest to show the step of fastening a portion of the cut blanket of fibrous building insulation in the predetermined spacing between structure member because the step would allow the insulation blanket to cover the spacing between structure members as taught by Ryan.

## Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-9 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Application/Control Number: 09/975,386

Art Unit: 3637

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Page 6

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. The prior art shows different insulating panel designs

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Phi D A whose telephone number is 703-306-9136. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on 703-308-2486. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for regular

communications and 703-872-9306 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

Phi Dieu Tran A November 17, 2003

LANNA MAI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER **TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600**